Question	Posted By	Sequence	Article	Reference	Question	Answer
22830	United Kingdom	1	Article	Pages 129 -149	In the "Summary of	Some of the specific changes made are as follows: In Article 17 (section
			1/		changes" sections for	17.1.2), the following statement has been added on page 133 "The
					Articles 17 & 18 it is	Environmental impact Assessment permit for the Duynefontyn site was
					stated that the report	Issued on 12 October 2017 by the Department of Environmental Affairs.
					has been changed to	The Duynetontyn site is an existing nuclear site comprising the KNPS. The
					reflect VDNS Principle	permit was issued on condition that the NNR issues a nuclear site licence
					1, but there is no	for the Duyneronityn site once their review process has been completed.
					changes have been	In Article 18 (Section 18.1.4), the following statement has been added on page 142 "Taking into account the pood to opcure that the solid sector is safety
					made in the text of	page 142 Taking into account the need to ensure that the seising safety
					these sections Please	of the KNDS site "
					clarify what changes	
					have been made to	
					the means of meeting	
					the obligations of	
					Articles 17 and 18 as a	
					response to VDNS	
					Principle 1.	
22831	United Kingdom	2	Article	14.1.3	The next periodic	The 3rd PSR will be used as input for the safety case to apply for possible
			14		safety review (PSR) for	long term operation. The basis of RG-0028 is IAEA specific safety guide
					KNPS will be over the	SSG-25. The requirement for the conduct of a PSR has been included as a
					period 2019-2022.	condition in the operating licence, the guidance for the conduct is in RG-
					The second PSR was	0028. The PSR will be augmented with inputs from the Ageing
					over the period 2008-	Management review performed as part of the separate SALTO project.
					2021 and hence the	
					latest one seems to be	
					beyond the normal	
					10-year periodicity.	
					The next PSR is against	
					RG-0028, which the	
					report says is in draft	
					and no details are	

		provided of its basis. Please clarify:	
		1. The programme for formal issue of RG- 0028;	
		2. What international standards have been used in developing RG-0028; and	
		3. How the proposed PSR programme is consistent with modern standards.	

22832	United Kingdom	3	Article	17.1.2	In March 2016, Eskom	The NNR appreciates this very relevant question. The NNR prefers not to
			14		applied for licensing of	address this question at this stage as the projects are currently on-going
					the Thyspunt and	and the public participation process has not taken place yet. The NNR will
					Duyefontyn sites in a	share lessons learned from the projects more fully once the public
					process that was	participation process has taken place and the projects have been
					stated to take up to 24	concluded. However, one way to look at the cause for the delay could be
					months. However, the	that the time period of 24 months specified in the NNR guidelines for
					regulatory review has	processing of a site license application is perhaps overly optimistic and
					yet to be completed	needs to be reviewed taking into account the nature of the licensing
					on either site. What	activity and the need for effective public engagement.
					are the principal	Regarding the impact of the delays – The NNR has not evaluated the
					reasons for the delay	impact of the delays yet because the NNR's decision-making process is
					and what will be the	independent, not subject to cost, and time pressures. When making
					impact on the	regulatory decisions in the face competing priorities the NNR prioritises
					programme for new	safety and effective public consultation as overriding priorities.
					build?	

23175	Argentina	4	Article 6	6.3 page 14	Regarding the concept	The question relates to the following text from the South Africa CNS
	_				of "Reasonably	report:
					practicable safety	"RG-0028 further requires that areas where either the licensing basis or
					improvement", what	current standards and practices are not achieved should be identified. A
					are the NNR's	list of proposed safety improvements should be prepared for each
					regulatory	negative finding, or, if no safety improvement can be identified that is
					expectations? What is	reasonable and practicable, a justification for this should be provided.
					considered as	It is therefore expected that the periodic safety review should be
					reasonably and	performed against current standards, and that reasonably practicable
					practicable in South	improvement measures are identified and implemented in line with
					Africa?	Principle 2 of the VDNS."
						The NNR approach to this is consistent with the following statements
						from IAEA Tendon 1894:
						Nost regulatory frameworks do not prescribe a systematic approach for
						Therefore, the process is permally considered on a case by case basis in
						nart by using engineering judgement. Since the responsibility for safety
						lies only on the licensee, it is the licensee's responsibility to justify and
						convince the
						regulator that additional measures are either justified or not and that the
						available options are optimized
						Safety research and advances in science and technology, as well as
						revisions to international
						safety standards, support decisions on a specific solution as evaluated by
						the licensee. Insights from PSAs and PSRs, for example, may also bring
						new insights for safety improvement needs when looking at the overall
						picture of the plant safety."
						"Significant limitations leading to a conclusion that a particular solution is
						not "reasonably practicable" include the following:
						– Technical infeasibility of implementing a solution (e.g. major plant
						layout changes);

			 Permanent worsening of operability of the plant (significantly longer outages, increase of collective and individual effective doses, decrease of robustness of existing barriers in defence in depth); For safety improvements that are not mandatory, efforts and implementation time to implement a safety improvement (e.g. feasibility to recover costs in the remaining plant lifetime) are not justified by the magnitude of the safety improvement that would result."

23176	Argentina	5	Article 7	7.2.2.1 page 25	Plant Safety Factors of the Periodic Safety Review are normally enlarged for the development of the Program for LTO. Could you explain	We agree that Plant Safety Factors of the Periodic Safety Review are normally enlarged for the development of the Program for LTO. The NNR approach is aligned with IAEA SSG-48 on Ageing Management and LTO and with IAEA SSG-25 on Periodic Safety Review as reflected in NNR guidance documents RG-0027 and RG-0028, respectively. Components identified as used under Design Extension Conditions are included as part of the ageing management requirements documented in
					in this sense is? Is it required to consider systems, structures and components for coping with the consequences of Design Extension Conditions?	
23177	Argentina	6	Article 7	7.2.2.1 page 25	Revalidation of Time Limited Ageing Analysis is done for the entire period of planned continued operation. Please, elaborate the position in South Africa regarding the time for continued operation.	Dependent on the outcome of the current studies associated with the programmes on Long Term Operation, Ageing Management, Time Limited Ageing Analysis and Periodic Safety Review, the NNR would consider a period of continued operation beyond the initial 40-year period of plant operation. The permitted period of continued operation would depend on the period applied for as well as the NNR assessment of the outcome of the above-mentioned studies. The reassessment being performed as part of the steam generator replacement project also informs these considerations. See also the statements in Section 6.5 of South Africa's 2019 CNS report on the NNR's position on the continued operation of nuclear installations.

23178	Argentina	7	Article 8	8.1.11 page 43	The National Report	Activities such as the review of Special Topical Areas for the Site Safety
	0				mentions that despite	Report on the site application and oversight on the manufacturing of the
					there is not a	SG were provide thru external Parties. The NNR assigned the overall
					permanent Technical	review and management for each of these topics to a Technical Area
					Support Organization,	Leader who ensured the suitability of work carried out by the TSOs
					for some specific	
					activities NNR may be	
					supported by external	
					organization.	
					-	
					Could you explain for	
					these cases, how	
					NNR's decision making	
					process is? What	
					arrangements are in	
					place at NNR for being	
					an "intelligent	
					customer"?	
23203	Iceland	8	General	General		We thank Iceland for the positive response to our NR
23239	Argentina	9	Article	12.3.1.3, page	"HRA methods are	Yes a full scope L2 PSA was conducted for internal and external events.
			12	71	applied at KNPS, as	
					part of the	
					probabilistic safety	
					assessment (PSA)	
					methodology, to	
					identify human	
					actions that can have	
					an effect on system	
					reliability or	
					availability. Level 1	
					HRA deals with actions	
					conducted Pre-Core	
					Damage and Level 2	

					HRA deals with the actions Post-Core Damage. The outcomes of the PSA are benchmarked against other international PSA studies."	
					Has KNPS conducted full scope L2 PSA? Otherwise, how are HRA lessons learned studied or implemented?	
23240	Argentina	10	Article 14	14.1.2.3, page 83	 "For an authorization to manufacture components, the applicant is required to conform to quality and safety management requirements [4.5], and, with regard to safety assessment aspects, to provide the following: 1) Safety assessment; " 	The safety assessment referred to in Section 14.1.2.3 Authorization to manufacture does not refer to the safety assessment of the manufacturer but rather refers to safety a submission by the applicant whilst requesting authorisation to manufacture and includes some of the documents mentioned in the Section 14.1.2.3. Assessment of the manufacturer will be in the form of quality audits.

					What is meant by safety assessment of a manufacturer? Is it with regard to equipment product realization?	
23596	Luxembourg	11	Article 7.2.2	page 26	The report describes stakeholder involvement in case on a license application for a nuclear installation or vessel license. Please indicate if there are other regulatory decisions during the operation of an NPP where the public is given the opportunity to comment within the decision taking procedure?	The NNR Act places the responsibility on the licence holder to establish a Public Safety Information Forum in order to inform the persons living in the municipal area, for which an emergency plan has been established, on nuclear safety and radiation safety matters. This quarterly meeting is chaired by a member of the public and is attended by all major role players involved in the integrated nuclear emergency plan as well as members of the general public. The NNR participates in this forum. The NNR upholds the principles of regular, relevant, open and factually correct communication with stakeholders. Communication and interaction with stakeholders are an ongoing process, and is conducted through various channels (Described in Section 8.1.10 of the 2019 CNS report, "Openness and transparency of regulatory activities") based on the needs of the target audience. Public participation is sought on major projects such as Long Term Operation and new nuclear facilities, for example, the planned transient interim storage facility.

23615	Argentina	12	Article 14	14.1.4.3, page 88	Taking into account the credible external events, what are the assumptions for the design conditions of portable equipment?	After the analysis of all credible external events for the Koeberg Nuclear Power Plant, a common outcome from these events was identified to be extended loss of all electrical power supply (ELAP). One of the solutions to ELAP is the use of portable electrical supply (diesel generators) and water supply (pumps) equipment. The portable equipment itself is off- the-shelf commercial grade.
23694	Pakistan	13	Article 8	8.1.6	Reference to section 8.1.6 (Fig 8-3), South Africa may like to share the experience of integrating career progression with SARCoN methodology.	The SARCoN methodology has been adopted by the NNR and incorporated into its recruitment, training and training gaps analysis, and promotion of staff. On an annual basis line manager are required to use the SARCoN method to assess the competency of their staff. They are required to create Training and Development Plans so that staff are capable of performing reviews, doing inspections, licensing actions requested by the licensee. When staff are ready to be promoted the SARCoN criteria are used to determines whether they have fulfilled the current competencies of the post, and are eligible to process to the next level within the NNR.
23695	Pakistan	14	Article 8	8.1.9	Reference to section 8.1.9, South Africa may please elaborate the methodology and experience of using Failure Mode and Effects Analysis to rank the risk associated with the processes.	In the methodology we perform the risk assessment, rank the risk, determine mitigation measures, and then prioritize the risk. We have integrated this with risk management and this system is mature. We use it on a regular basis. The FMEA is aligned with our risk assessment of processes and activities

23698	Pakistan	15	Article 12	12.3.1.3	Reference to section 12.3.1.3, South Africa may share experience of utilization of Level 2 HRA in HFE design of KNPS.	Utilized during the review of actions required to be implemented after core damage. Specifically, the impact on the operator where certain conditions have changed, i.e. possible changes in environmental and/or radiological conditions in the control room.
23699	Pakistan	16	Article 12	12.3.1.4	Reference section 12.3.1.4, South Africa may like to share improvements made as a result of periodic control room design review to overcome the discrepancies between human capabilities and demands of working environment.	Examples of control room upgrades completed that improved control room design included: - extra mimic and display for the spent fuel cooling system. - upgraded plant information system
23700	Pakistan	17	Article 16	16.1.5.2	Reference section 16.1.5.2, please share some examples of the corrective actions implemented to address the findings of emergency exercise at Koeberg NPP.	1. The operator omitted implementation of significant actions from a certain procedure because they used another procedure which was not aligned with that one (misalignment of procedures) the corrective action was to update the procedure to ensure they are aligned. 2. Lack of implementation of relevant procedure(s) the corrective action was to update the emergency flow chart to direct the operator to correct procedure(s)

24861	China	18	General	B/P9	The middle section of	The consideration for replacement of SSCs involves several issues and
					B. SUMMARY "Future	would differ from plant to plant. For the Koeberg Nuclear Power Station
					safety-related	the main consideration was related to extending the life of the plant,
					activities and	since these were old SGs and were due for replacement it their function
					programmes planned	and reliability. For the Reactor Vessel head, there was an onset of
					for the next period	corrosion and the operational experience showed that this component is
					until the 9th Review	due for replacement. The requirements for replacement are informed by
					Meeting" mentions	factors such as, mitigating ageing effects, ensuring the safety function,
					that the Plant Life	the maintenance basis, operational experience on similar components,
					Extension business	safety assessment, Time Limiting Ageing Analysis, deterministic analyses,
					case will replace three	and insights from psa.
					main components,	
					namely the steam	
					generators, the Unit 2	
					reactor vessel head	
					and the refuelling	
					water storage tanks.	
					Question: What	
					should be considered	
					in the determination	
					of replacing main	
					components? How to	
					determine the	
					requirements of	
					replacement?	
24862	China	19	Article	17.1.1.2/P132	Examples of external	The main improvements are: 1) updates of severe accident management
			17.3		events in Section	procedures, 2) installation of hardened electrical connections for unit 1
					17.1.1.2 Overview of	and 2 (completed), 3) installation of hardened water connections
					design provisions	(currently in design phase), 4) procurement of portable equipment (i.e.
					against external	mobile generator sets), 5) building of storage warehouse for storage of
					events include fire,	portable equipment (currently in design phase).
					explosion, aircraft	
					crash, external	

					flooding, severe weather conditions, etc. Question: Is there any improvement actions for NPPs in South Africa after the Fukushima nuclear accident? Could you	
					provide the main improvements?	
24863	China	20	Article 19.7	19.7.2 /P165	It is stated that:" Eskom reports significant nuclear safety events to WANO." Question: How to define significant nuclear safety events? What else events reported to WANO?	Significant events are defined as: Any event that has significant negative impact on nuclear safety or plant reliability, or results in loss of life or negative public image.
25279	Sweden	21	Article 6	6.2 Significant safety-related issues and events	Page 13. In two of the three reported events the INES level of 1 was assigned to the event due to that no conclusion could be found for the cause of the event or due to reoccurrence, which was due to the failure to identify and resolve the cause of the	All events are submitted to the Regulator in accordance with a condition in the licence. The Regulator reviews the close out reports. Based on the review of these reports as well as the results from compliance assurance inspections, done against the requirements in the licence, the Regulator will take appropriate actions. In the case of the event that recurred due to inadequate root cause analyses, the Regulator issued measures to ensure that a proper analysis is done prior to allowing start-up of the unit. This also forced the utility to modify internal processes to prevent such events in the future.

					earlier event (trip). QUESTION: How is the	
					root cause analysis of	
					events performed at	
					Koeberg NPP? Is this	
					procedure and the	
					classification of events	
					checked by NNR? How	
					often is it not possible	
					to find the root cause	
					of an event?	
25280	Sweden	22	Article 6	6.2 Significant	Page 14. It is reported	Koeberg Unit 1 head was replaced in 2007 when penetration nozzle
				safety-related	that upgrades	cracks were identified. The currently installed RPV head on Koeberg Unit
				issues and	underway both	2 is the last existing head of this type of PWR (Framatome
				events	include the	design/fabrication) in the world that is still in use and there not any
					replacement of the	nozzle cracks have been identified. A conservative decision was made to
					steam generators for	replace the head with the proposed LTO in mind. Unit 2 head will have
					both units as well as	design differences to the unit 1 head due to upgraded control rod drive
					the replacement of	mechanisms and a design solution to the internationally reported
					the reactor pressure	thermal sleeve wear phenomena.
					vessel (RPV) head for	
					unit 2. Under 18.1.6.3	
					it is further reported	
					that the Unit 2 RPV	
					nead should be	
					ne degradation has	
					hoon rovealed Under	
					14.2.2 it is	
					furthermore reported	
					that the RPV head of	
					Koeberg 1 was	
					replaced in 2007.	

					OUESTION: How is	
					replacement at Unit 2	
					motivated? When the	
					RPV head of Koeberg 1	
					was replaced where	
					their indications of	
					degradations? Were	
					there differences in	
					the fabrication of the	
					RPV heads between	
					Koeberg 1 & 2?	
25281	Sweden	23	Article 7	7.2.1.3 Process	Page 24. It is reported	The regulatory framework has been augmented as provided for in
				of establishing	that NNR has	Section 7.2.1.2 of the 2019 CNS report. The amendments to the primary
				and revising	proposed	legislation have been delayed by the need to undergo a thorough
				regulatory	amendments to the	legislative approval process which includes a social cost benefit analysis.
				requirements	NNR Act to the	
					Minister for	
					consideration and	
					developed a suite of	
					regulations that have	
					been submitted to the	
					Minister for	
					promulgation.	
					Regulations have been	
					revised and developed	
					in order for nuclear	
					installations to	
					incorporate	
					requirements	
					in the nuclear	
					authorisations to	
					authorisations, to	
					audress gaps	

					identified during self-	
					assessments and	
					lessons learned with	
					the licensing of the	
					KNPS and the Pebble	
					Bed Modular Reactor	
					project, international	
					developments and	
					trains etc. QUESTION:	
					What is the current	
					status of these	
					initiatives and	
					proposals?	
25282	Sweden	24	Article 7	7.2.1.3 Process	Page 25. Following the	The inspection and testing should be performed by the licensee to meet
				of establishing	post-Fukushima	the requirements of the regulator. Currently all equipment credited in
				and revising	review the NNR has	accident management, specifically equipment used during Design
				regulatory	identified areas for the	Extension Conditions are classified as Design Extension Related (DER) in
				requirements	improvement of	addition to its normal classification used. This would enable identification
					regulatory standards	and testing under the rules developed for the DER equipment. This
					and regulatory	process is not yet completed, however currently testing and inspection of
					practices. These	plant equipment credited in accident management is performed as per
					improvements relate	normal for the original classification that exists. Once it has been officially
					to, inter alia, - testing	credited as DER it will be inspected and tested under that classification
					and inspection of	grouping. This process is ongoing
					equipment credited in	
					accident	
					management.	
					QUESTION: Could you	
					please tell us more	
					about this and which	
					tests and inspections	
					that should be carried	
					out? Should they all	

					be performed by the licensee?	
25283	Sweden	25	Article 7	7.2.3.2 Overview of the regulatory inspection and assessment process	Page 28. It is listed which safety assessments the applicant or holder is required to submit. (This list is repeated under 14.1.2.1!). This is required in regulations, requirement documents, nuclear licences, position papers and guidelines. It is furthermore stated that for large projects, a detailed licensing schedule is developed in conjunction with the	The NNR requires that the licensee submit a Licensing Framework for large or important projects to the NNR for review and acceptance. The licensing schedule proposed by the licensee forms part of the Licensing Framework submitted to the NNR. In NNR regulatory guides RG-0011 and RG-0012 on the siting of nuclear facilities and on construction management, respectively, typical timelines for applications are indicated. The NNR interacts with the holder (applicant) in this regard through correspondence on the above-mentioned licensing schedule as well as in meetings with the holder (applicant) in forums ranging from the licensing strategy level down to the working group level.

	holder, which includes
	timelines for the
	preparation and
	review of documents
	by the holder and the
	Regulator, and the
	overall context in the
	safety case.
	QUESTION: Could you
	tell us more about
	how such scheduling is
	performed in practice
	and how NNR
	interacts with the
	holder (applicant) in
	this regard?

25284	Sweden	26	Article 7	7.2.4.1 to	Page 29. It is stated	The NNR agrees that imprisonment should not be regarded as a
				7.2.4.3	that the NNR Act	regulatory enforcement measure (as indicated by the title of 7.2.4.2), but
					confers "the necessary	rather as a possible outcome after legal actions being taken (prosecution
					powers" on the NNR	and court procedures). However, the enforcement process may lead to
					to take legal action. It	such a route.
					is furthermore	
					reported under 7.2.4.2	Section 27 of the NNR Act does not detail prerequisites for NNR to revoke
					that appropriate	a nuclear authorisation. Such details are contained in lower tier
					sanctions for the	documents of the NNR. For example, the NNR regulatory philosophy and
					commission of	policy document states: "Enforcement actions consider:
					offences include fines	a) The nature of the non-compliance (repeat event, wilful, etc.);
					and imprisonment.	b) Operator's compliance history;
					NNR is also	c) Potential impact on safety, i.e. severity; and
					empowered to revoke	d) Significance of the deficiency of the corrective action.
					a nuclear	4) Enforcement actions may result from non-compliance with the
					authorisation at any	conditions of nuclear authorisations, regulations, directives, approved
					time. QUESTION:	operational procedures as well as any condition imposed by the
					The text is not fully	Regulator as required."
					clear. It seems that	
					imprisonment should	Yes, NNR decisions can be appealed as described in Chapter 6 of the NNR
					not be regarded as a	Act.
					regulatory	
					enforcement measure	
					(as indicated by the	
					title of 7.2.4.2), but	
					rather as a possible	
					outcome after legal	
					actions being taken	
					(prosecution and court	
					procedures)?	
					Furthermore, does the	
					section 27 of the NNR	
					Act detail	

				1	1	
					prerequisites for NNR to revoke a nuclear authorisation? Can the decision be appealed?	
25.205	Swadan	27	Articlo 7	7212		In delivering and corrying out its mandate emphating from its regulatory
23283	Sweden	21	Article /	/.2.1.2	national framework contain mandatory requirements for nuclear power plant design, e.g. corresponding to IAEA SSR 2/1, rev. 1?	framework the NNR has adopted a performance/process-based approach as described in the response to a previous Article 7 question from Sweden. As part of this transition from a non-prescriptive to a performance-based licensing regime, the NNR has drafted General Nuclear Safety Regulations and Specific Nuclear Safety Regulations: Nuclear Facilities, which contain many more explicit mandatory requirements for nuclear power plant design aligned with IAEA safety standards, including with IAEA SSR 2/1, rev. 1.

25286	Sweden	28	Article 7	7.2.1.2	QUESTION: Does your national framework address questions about safety-security interface in nuclear facilities? If so, how is this done?	As part of the NNR's transition from a non-prescriptive to a performance- based licensing regime, the NNR has drafted General Nuclear Safety Regulations and Specific Nuclear Safety Regulations: Nuclear Facilities, which contain requirements related to the safety-security interface in nuclear facilities under headings such as: Management systems, Resource management, Document and records management, Event management, Nuclear vessel licence, Requirements for a site safety report, Radioactive waste management plan, transportation of radioactive material, Managing emergency response operations, Organisational structure, Training and qualification of reactor operators. The drafted Specific Nuclear Safety Regulations: Nuclear Facilities also requires that the authoratsion holder (applicant) submit a Nuclear security plan. The NNR has also developed General Regulations on Nuclear Security.
25287	Sweden	29	Article 7	7.2.1.2	QUESTION: Does the requirement document RD-0016 mean that a formal authority's approval is required for the models and software used in safety analyses? If so, what is the reason for this?	The 2nd last paragraph of Section 1 of RD-0016 states: "The NNR will not provide a general approval for specific computer software, but will only state its acceptance of the software for specific or similar types of applications in the safety analysis under specific conditions as justified in the verification and validation report. For specific applications an independent assessment involving separate calculation models and software programs may also be required." It is should be noted that RD-0016 has been superseded by RG-0016 "Guidance on the Verification and Validation of Evaluation and Calculation Models used in Safety and Design Analyses" and that the same text quoted above appears in Section 1 of RG-0016.

25288	Sweden	30	Article 7	7.2.1.2	QUESTION: Does the	Yes. Examples of statements in RD-0034 that emphasises this point are as
					requirement	follows:
					document RD-0034	From Section 2 of RD-0034: "This document details the requirements of
					include quality and	the NNR for quality and safety management systems for licensees,
					safety management	applicants of a nuclear license, as well as for designers and suppliers
					requirements for all	involved in the design, manufacturing, construction, commissioning,
					phases during the	operation, modification and potential decommissioning for a nuclear
					lifetime of a nuclear	installation in South Africa"
					plant?	From Section 3 of RD-0034: "The objectives of this document are to:
						- Define the relevant quality and safety management requirements to
						ensure that safety is appropriately taken into account in all activities and
						decisions by licensees and suppliers involved in the life cycle of a nuclear
						installation.
						"
						From Section 4 of RD-0034: "All parties and organisations that are in any
						way involved in activities important to nuclear safety related to siting.
						design, manufacture, construction, operation, modification, and eventual
						decommissioning of a nuclear installation are required to develop,
						introduce and maintain Management Systems that appropriately comply
						with the applicable requirements of this document."
						From Section 7, of RD-0034: "(1) The licensee must ensure for its own
						organisation and for all suppliers of products important to nuclear safety
						that a QMS is implemented during all stages of the life cycle of the
						nuclear installation considering the respective requirements as specified
						in this RD.
						(2) The licensee must ensure for its own organisation and for all suppliers
						of products of high importance to nuclear safety and with a direct
						influence in the design of the product that a SM system, including SC
						aspects, is implemented as part of an IMS during all stages of the life
						cycle of the nuclear installation considering the respective requirements
						as specified in this RD."

25289	Sweden	31	Article 7	7.2.3	QUESTION: How	In addition to the description of the regulatory strategy as provided in
					would you	Section 7.2.3.1 of the 2019 CNS report, the following is stated:
					characterize your	In delivering and carrying out its mandate emanating from its regulatory
					main regulatory	framework the NNR has adopted a performance/process-based
					strategy? Case- and	approach.
					facility-based	Benefits:
					approach, or	A process-based approach highlights the fundamental principle that the
					outcome-based	authorisation holder takes the primary responsibility for safety of its
					approach or risk-	facilities and activities. While using this approach the NNR requires
					informed and hazard-	authorisation holders to identify key processes that lead to safe
					informed approach?	performance and requires licensees to establish and implement these
					What are the main	processes effectively. This approach is supported by the NNR requiring
					benefits of the chosen	the use of a risk analysis which is used for regulatory decision making
					strategy, and what do	related to events that impact adversely on nuclear safety of facilities.
					you see as difficulties	The NNR has also introduced performance based licensing in order to
					with this strategy?	focus on safety objectives and key safety issues arising at facilities. The
						regulatory philosophy adopted by the NNR is a hybrid employing
						methodologies and principles based on the approach taken in the
						regulatory framework, the maturity of the licensee, and international
						developments related to regulation and emerging safety standards.
						Difficulties:
						A performance/process-based approach is not as clear and definitive as a
						prescriptive licensing approach for which compliance is more readily
						determined, greater regulatory stability may be achieved and resource
						needs more easily established. From NNR experience it has the following
						drawbacks however:
						a) It places the onus on the regulator to identify such detailed licence
						binding requirements. The regulator is effectively implicated in the
						technical details in the event of an accident.
						b) There is a tendency for the Licensee to become reliant on the
						regulator to bear responsibility for details relating to plant safety and to
						identify technical errors.
						c) The requirement for regulatory approval of changes to all licence

			 binding documents, particularly when the changes are trivial or not safety related, leads to a large administrative and technical assessment burden on both the regulator and the licensee. d) There is a tendency for the licensee to work around changes at a technical level which would have led to formal approval by the regulator. e) This often results in insufficient time being available for in-depth technical inspections or pro- active assessments by the regulator, which could provide more meaningful assurance of safety. f) The definition of "licence violation" becomes too broad, as a violation of any of the referenced documents (however trivial) is technically a license violation.

25290	Sweden	32	Article 7	7.2.3	QUESTION: Is it part of your regulatory strategies to also follow up the licensee's work on safety and security culture within their organizations? If so, how is this supervision done?	As indicated in Article 9, the NNR has moved to a more process- orientated licensing approach, which demands increased discipline and safety and security culture from the staff of the nuclear installation and increased vigilance from the NNR to detect incipient weaknesses or any deterioration of the safety and security culture. The NNR requires that the licensee submit a Security Culture Enhancement Plan and an annual Safety Culture report to the NNR. The licensee is requested to respond to the NNR review comments thereon. NNR inspections are also used to monitor signs of possible deterioration of safety and security culture. See also Section 10.2.2.1 of the 8th CNS RSA report for more information on NNR involvement with the development of safety culture programmes of the licensee.
25291	Sweden	33	Article 7	7.2.3.3	Page 28. According to the report, the annual baseline Compliance Assurance Plans (CAPs) include to take into account trending and grading of inspection findings. QUESTION: Are there any specific safety aspects that are being trended? What principles are used as a basis for grading of inspection findings? Are combined or integrated	NNR keeps a non-compliance register which keeps track of areas with the most non-compliances /trending areas. The results thereof are reported to the nuclear authorisation holder on a quarterly basis. The non- compliances are graded according to their level of risk to nuclear safety. NNR is currently developing a grading methodology for non-compliances using impact to nuclear safety and frequency of that non-compliance as cornerstone principles. It is through this process that the inspections CAP for the following year takes into account the areas with non-compliances with the highest risk and gives more focus.

					assessments of inspection findings also carried out?	
25292	Sweden	34	Article 8	8.1.4.3 The staff of the NNR	Page 35. It is reported that the Centre for Nuclear Safety and Security (CNSS), under RITS, was established to develop capabilities in order to improve regulatory practices related to nuclear safety and security. It is furthermore stated that the CNSS will attempt to address the anticipated nuclear safety and security needs of the regulatory body as well as those of the nuclear industry at large. QUESTION: Could this aspiration	We acknowledge that are choice of words are misleading on this aspect. However, the CNSS will provide services in line with our regulatory mandate. The needs made reference to contribute to our mission to protection persons and the environment.

					be seen as conflicting with the effective separation of the functions of the regulatory body and those of organisations concerned with promotion or utilisation of nuclear energy?	
25293	Sweden	35	Article 8	8.1.8 Statement of Adequacy of resources	Page 40. An independent consultant has indicated that the NNR should increase its staffing levels. The NNR will require additional resources to cope with upcoming projects such as thermal power uprating, the spent fuel dry storage facility project at KNPS, and capacitating the CNSS. QUESTION: Has any prioritisation been done? What can be put on hold due to staff shortage?	Yes, prioritisation has been done taken into account the operational requirements of the NPP. We have quarterly meetings with Eskom where they inform us of the priorities with regard to their major projects. Once we have written commitment that these project will have carried out, we then add resources with a view to cope with the projects.

25294	Sweden	36	Article 8	6)	Page 43. The NNR has	In the event of an Radiogical Emergency (RE), The Public Information
				Communication	developed a	Officer works directly with our Regulatory Emergency Response Centre to
				with the media	procedure PR-ASS-21	disseminate information. We have a sample statements that ensure
				during a	about Communication	information is factual and timely with regard t the evolution of the RE.
				nuclear or	to the Media during a	The content of PRO-ASS-21 provides a workflow for media interactions,
				radiological	Nuclear and	press conferences, and a process for monitoring and control the quality
				emergency	Radiological	of information. An expert may be designated to speak about the RE.
					Emergency which will	
					ensure that	
					communication is	
					timely, accurate,	
					consistent and	
					credible. QUESTION:	
					Could you please tell	
					us more about the	
					content of this	
					communication	
					procedure and outline	
					its main ideas and	
					strategical elements in	
					order to achieve its set	
					objectives?	

25295	Sweden	37	Article 8	8.2.3 Effective	Page 45. The Article	The statement that emphasises that "The Minister must make
				separation	8.2 states that each	regulations on the recommendation from the Board," entrenches the
				between the	contracting party shall	independence of the NNR. The NNR is the competent authority on
				NNR and any	take the appropriate	nuclear safety, so the Minister may not exercise discretion once the
				other body.	steps to ensure an	recommendation has been submitted to the DMRE from the Board. Yes,
					effective separation	this long terms structure is very difficult to change in our context.
					between the functions	However we have imbedded the principles of independence in are
					of the regulatory body	Amendments to the Nuclear Safety Legislation
					and those of any	
					organisation	
					concerned with the	
					promotion or	
					utilisation of nuclear	
					energy. The section	
					8.2.3 explains the	
					separation between	
					NNR and any other	
					body. It is further	
					stated that the	
					Minister of Mineral	
					Resources and Energy,	
					must, on the	
					recommendation of	
					the board, make	
					regulations regarding	
					standards and	
					regulatory practices.	
					QUESTION: As we	
					understand, in many	
					decisions the board	
					has to be heard before	
					the CEO of NNR can	
					make decisions? What	

					does it mean that the Minister must make regulations on recommendations from the board? Is the Ministry of Mineral Resources and Energy involved in the promotion or use of nuclear energy?	
25296	Sweden	38	Article 9	Holder's prime responsibility for safety - implementation	Pages 50-51. Eskom is the owner and operator of the KNPS in South Africa. Eskom has developed a document called the Koeberg Licensing Basis Manual (KLBM). This document defines the licensing basis and provides the key mandatory nuclear safety documents that must be complied with to control and demonstrate the nuclear safety of KNPS. QUESTION: This	Safety culture is a requirement documented in the NNR requirements document on Quality and Safety Management. To comply with this requirement, Eskom has established Nuclear Safety Culture policy documents that include safety culture enhancement programme. Under the enhancement programme, Eskom performs nuclear safety culture assessment at KNPS on a three-year cycle basis. This takes the form of self-assessment on an annual basis for two years and an independent survey for the third year. The results of the assessments detailing conformances, non-conformances and action plans for corrections are submitted to NNR for review. The NNR also performs independent safety culture audits through the compliance assurance inspections department.

information, and other
text of this section
shows clearly how
Eskom (the license of
KLBM) makes sure
that the interface with
NNR and the process
to ensure that it
follows all the
regulatory
requirements is
fulfilled. However, to
have the prime
responsibility means
that one is proactive
and takes the lead in
the safety work and
development of the
safety culture, even
for issues which are
not regulated. How is
the licensee
performing in this
regard?

25297	Sweden	30	Article 9	9.2 Holder's	Page 51 "In this	There is a documented change control process for activities or plant
23237	Sweden	55	/ little of o	nrime	manner the	conditions with consequences that can have impact on the Koeherg
				responsibility	responsibilities	Licensing Basis For every activity occurring at KNPS (i.e. plant changes
				for cofoty	accountabilities and	changes to presedures, shanges to set points, etc.). Eskem performs
				invelope and the		changes to procedures, changes to set points, etc.), Eskom performs
				Implementation	assurance	safety screening, safety evaluation, safety justification and safety case for
					mechanisms for the	such activities as per the change control process requirements. The
					nuclear installation	outcomes of the process identify activities that impact on the license
					licence are	conditions and therefore require NNR approval. Such activities are then
					documented and	submitted to NNR for review and approval.
					incorporated into an	
					approved process,	
					with independent	
					assurance that the	
					nuclear installation	
					licence requirements	
					are complied with and	
					that the ultimate	
					responsibility for	
					radiation protection	
					and nuclear safety	
					rests with the licence	
					holder."	
					QUESTION: Could you	
					please give more	
					information about	
					basic steps of this	
					process, and	
					distribution of the	
					tasks and	
					responsibilities. i.e.	
					how this work is	
					practically carried out?	

25298	Sweden	40	Article 9	Holder's prime	QUESTION: How often	The KLBM is reviewed every two years. The document is currently at
				responsibility	are there changes in	revision 2
				for safety –	the Koeberg Licensing	
				implementation	Basis Manual (KLBM)?	
					What is the status of	
					the document?	
25299	Sweden	41	Article 9	Holder's public	Page 52. The Koeberg	Public interest and participation varies based on the nature of nuclear
				communication	PSIF meetings take	safety issues in the operating environment. Locally and internationally
				processes	place on a quarterly	
					basis and address	
					concerns by the	
					public. QUESTION:	
					How is the interest	
					from the public to	
					participate in these	
					meetings?	
25300	Sweden	42	Article	Summary of		Acknowledged
			10	changes		

25301	Sweden	43	Article	10.2.2.2 Safety	Page 56. The principle	The NNR's approach to the regulation of nuclear safety and security takes
			10	culture	that safety is the	into consideration, among others, the potential hazards associated with
				monitoring and	overriding priority is	the facility or activity, safety related programmes, the importance of the
				feedback	clearly stated in	authorisation holder's safety related processes as well as the need to
					nuclear installation	exercise regulatory control over technical aspects such as the design and
					directives on the	operation of a nuclear facility. On this basis, the NNR's approach is partly
					responsibility and	performance based by setting overall safety limits and criteria, and partly
					accountability for	prescriptive by holding the licensee to the regulatory requirements and
					nuclear safety.	the licensing basis approved by the Regulator. The approach is also
					However, NNR has	process based in the sense that the licensee is held responsible for
					moved to a more	various processes, in particular a safety screening and evaluation process
					process-oriented	that identifies which modifications or changes require regulatory
					licensing approach,	approval.
					which demands	South Africa does not have national nuclear industry codes and
					increased discipline	standards. The NNR is therefore non-prescriptive when considering the
					and safety culture	use of industry codes and standards. In respect of the principle of good
					from the staff of the	engineering practice, the NNR requires, as a general rule for nuclear
					nuclear installation	facilities of standard design, that well recognised proven codes and
					and increased	standards, preferably those of the vendor country, are complied with and
					vigilance from the	augmented where necessary to address NNR requirements and local
					NNR to detect	conditions. It is expected that regulated entities establish, promote,
					incipient weaknesses	support, and maintain a positive and strong safety and security culture
					or any deterioration of	commensurate with the safety and security significance of their activities,
					the safety	and the nature and complexity of their organisations and functions.
					commitment.	
					QUESTION: Could you	
					please inform us if this	
					change of NNR: s	
					approach in licensing	
					is due to experience	
					feed-back? Has	
					"pressing demands for	
					productions and cost	

	savings" influenced	
	the safety work of the	
	operator?	

25302	Sweden	44	Article	10.2.4.2 Safety	Page 59. Under the	Section 10.2.4.2 outlines the function of the Safety Engineer and the
			10	engineer	header 3) Technical	interaction with the shift manager. Section 16.1.3.2.3 states that, the
				function	advice and	KNPS operating shift manager and/or the standby emergency controller
					recommendations it is	recommend protective actions to the DCT.
					stated that the "safety	
					engineer function"	
					should a) During	
					normal operations,	
					provide advice to the	
					shift manager on	
					operability	
					determinations,	
					suitable responses to	
					potential unsafe	
					conditions and similar	
					conditions of	
					uncertainty and	
					ambiguity and e)	
					Provide the	
					Operational Shift and	
					Technical Support	
					Centre with expert	
					assistance regarding	
					beyond design basis	
					phenomena and	
					recommend actions.	
					QUESTION: Could you	
					explain a bit how the	
					roles and	
					responsibilities are	
					divided between the	
					shift manager and this	
					safety engineer	
					function? Are advice given after request or how is this expected to work in a crisis situation?	
-------	--------	----	---------------	---------------------------	--	---
25303	Sweden	45	Article 10	10.2.1 Safety policies	Page 54. "Within the generation department of the utility, a policy statement has been drawn up committing to manage the nuclear installation in line with national regulatory and corporate requirements, and complying with IAEA standards for quality management. The policy requires that functional responsibilities will be assigned and that all employees should have a clear	The licensee's induction programmes for employees include a section on safety management and safety culture, to ensure that all personnel have the same understanding of their personal accountability and responsibility for safety. Safety culture training interventions are conducted by the licensee on the basis of feedback from surveys, problem investigations, audits, operating experience and reviews. Additional safety assurance through adherence to Koeberg Licensing Basis Manual and Quality Assurance programme. The Utility also conducts annual seminar on safety for all personnel and NNR is invited to this event.

		understanding of their	
		responsibilities the	
		expectations placed	
		on them and the	
		on them and the	
		potential impacts of	
		their function. This	
		policy is manifested in	
		obligations to meet	
		job requirements,	
		systems for error	
		prevention and	
		corrective action, a	
		performance standard	
		of zero deviation and	
		a systematic	
		improvement	
		process."	
		QUESTION: How does	
		the license holder	
		ensure that the safety	
		policy is understood	
		and implemented by	
		all employees?	

25304	Sweden	46	Article	10.2.2.1 Safety	Page 55. "The licence	The licensee's management systems and process, like the Corrective
			10	culture	holder, Eskom, with	Action Program, Nuclear Safety Concern Process, the Nuclear Safety
				programmes at	involvement of the	Assurance Evaluation process, External Body Reviews and the Safety
				the nuclear	NNR, developed a	Culture Plan are in place to continuously monitor for any emerging
				installation	safety culture survey	nuclear safety culture concerns. In addition, the licensee's management
					tool, partially based	continues to engage staff on current licensee challenges that lead to staff
					on the IAEA INSAG-4	morale challenges. This has been escalated, with increased engagement
					publication, the	of the licensee's management with staff.
					Institute of Nuclear	
					Power Operators	
					(INPO) TECDOC-1329	
					and the INPO	
					Principles for a Strong	
					Nuclear Safety	
					Culture. Surveys were	
					conducted in 2006,	
					2007, 2009 and 2011,	
					involving utility	
					personnel and	
					contracting staff. The	
					results and	
					recommendations of	
					the surveys were	
					shared openly with	
					the installation staff	
					and the NNR."	
					QUESTION: What	
					were the results of the	
					surveys? Has the	
					safety culture	
					developed/improved?	

25305	Sweden	47	Article	Safety culture	Pages 55-56. TEPCO	The South African culture is diverse and complex. In our new democracy
			10	assessment	Fukushima Dai-ichi	the ideology of "unbuntu" which promotes the importance of human
					NPP accident has	dignity and kindness. South Africans are generally relaxed yet hard
					highlighted the	working. Most institution have high quality management systems that
					importance of safety	require adherence to proven processes and systems of management
					culture and its	inclusive of safety. Since the national culture is not homogeneous we can
					continuous	only reflect on safety culture risk. In this regard there may be a tendency
					assessment and	to ensure production capacity of a NPP as opposed to the emphasis of
					improvement. The	safety at all times.
					"Diet report" in 2012	
					concluded that	
					"fundamental causes	
					of the accident are to	
					be found in the	
					ingrained conventions	
					of Japanese culture;	
					our reflexive	
					obedience; our	
					reluctance to question	
					authority; our	
					devotion to 'sticking	
					with the program'; our	
					groups; and our	
					insularity".	
					QUESTION: Regarding	
					the background given,	
					what is a potential	
					safety risk in the South	
					African national	
					culture if not handled?	

25306	Sweden	48	Article	11.1.3	It is reported that the	The amount for Koeberg Nuclear Power station is 367 million Special
			11	Contracting	holder of a licence is	Drawing Rights (SDRs)
				party's	required, by condition	
				processes to	of the licence to	
				assess the	provide proof to the	
				financial	NNR that any claim for	
				provisions	compensation, to an	
					amount contemplated	
					in section 30 (2) of the	
					NNR Act can be met.	
					QUESTION: What is	
					the typical amount or	
					level of financial	
					security	
					"contemplated in the	
					section 30 (2)" of the	
					NNR Act?	
25307	Sweden	49	Article	11.2.11 Analysis	It is reported that the	Station personnel who fulfil roles in the emergency response
			11	of	emergency plan is	organisation are placed in emergency positions closest to their
				competencies	staffed by people who	operational expertise. However, as mentioned in the question, some
				for severe	are qualified in the	emergency response activities will not be expected to be performed
				accident	associated area of	during normal operation. The emergency response organisation
				management	expertise within the	members who perform these activities are specifically trained and
					organisational	exercised on these activities above and beyond their normal operational
					structure. Their	training and work. For example control room operators routine undergo
					normal job output is	training and drills on shutting down the plant from the emergency
					therefore the same as	shutdown panel (alternative control room) and radiation protection and
					their responsibilities in	emergency preparedness staff members are specifically trained to
					the emergency plan of	perform dose assessment of potential radioactive releases during nuclear
					the organisation. In	emergencies.
					their normal functions	
					they receive retraining	
					and qualification	

					through rigorous processes. QUESTION: Could you please explain how for example work in an alternative control room, prognosis of releases of radioactive substances to the environment and communication with	
					off- and on-site responders are skills trained in their normal job functions?	
25308	Sweden	50	Article 11	Assessment of a contractor's personnel	QUESTION: Has the fit- for-duty test been benchmarked?	The practise is referenced within the South African context. It may not be meaningful to look at international practice
25309	Sweden	51	Article 12	12.1.		The reference to Management of Safety (Section 13) is referring to the Regulatory Requirements on Management of Safety.
25310	Sweden	52	Article 13	13.4 Audit programmes of the licence holders	Page 78. Eskom has established a comprehensive audit programme. It is reported that the audit program is discussed with the NNR and takes into account Regulators' planned audit and inspection programme to ensure that an	The ESKOM QA audit programme is independent to that of the NNR. ESKOM only uses the audit findings for identification of gaps in their approach (programme and contents). From the monitoring, QA evaluates that all the management systems from 238-8 to Business Unit Manual and their supporting processes are assessed for compliance through the monitoring programme.

integrated monitoring	
programme is	
established.	
QUESTION: Please	
explain why the	
licence holder, having	
the prime	
responsibility for	
safety, should have ar	
integrated monitoring	
programme with the	
regulator. Would this	
not be seen as being	
in conflict with Article	
8.2 of Convention or	
at least be perceived	
as improper? Please	
explain?	

25311	Sweden	53	Article	13.6 Regulatory	Page 79. It is reported	The NNR is in the process of formally documenting the training and
			13	review and	that the NNR-	qualification of inspectors. This process outlines the steps to be followed
				control	appointed inspectors	from recruitment to the qualification of the inspector where the CEO
				activities	are required to be	approves appointment as per section 41 of the South African National
					trained and	Nuclear Regulatory Act. It is anticipated that it will be a 2-year
					certificated (this	programme delivered in a modular fashion, following the SARCON 4
					should perhaps better	quadrant model of competences combined with On the Job training.
					be reported under the	These modules will be covered by more experienced NNR staff members
					Article 8 and not	and external service providers.
					Article 13 that refers	Currently NNR appoints inspectors with a University degree in Physics,
					to nuclear	Chemistry or an Engineering degree. Inspectors attend training provided
					installations). The	by different organisations including Authorisation holders. They also
					training and	undergo self-study and OTJ Training that is technology specific
					certification are	
					carried out according	
					to a modular Inspector	
					Training and	
					Qualification	
					Programme.	
					QUESTION: Could you	
					please inform a bit	
					more about this	
					Training and	
					Qualification	
					Programme?	

25312	Sweden	54	Article 14	14.1.1.		With regard to section 14.1.1 & 14.1.2.2. The texts are the same but they are also relevant in both sections and serve to provide context to both. Section 14.1.1 provides an overview of the requirements on safety assessments and this includes the submission of the SSR to support an application for a new Nuclear Installations license as stated in the text. Section 14.1.2.2. puts the safety assessments in context with the different licensing stages, hence the text is repeated. A similar reason for the duplication in Sections 14.1.2.1. & 7.2.3.2.
25313	Sweden	55	Article 14	14.1.3.3 Koeberg second periodic safety review	It is reported that modifications and procedural updates are (were?) recommended to mitigate the risk of hydrogen explosions. The assessment was completed in 2011 and Eskom is reported to have started the implementation of some of these recommendations and modifications. QUESTION: Has Eskom installed passive autocatalytic recombines to remove hydrogen or in some other way acted on the issue of risk of	Yes, Eskom has installed 24 passive autocatalytic recombines (PAR) per unit.

					hydrogen explosions during an accident?	
25314	Sweden	56	Article 14	14.1.3.3 Koeberg second periodic safety review	Page 87. Significant hardware modifications include the replacement of safety injection system valves to alleviate a risk of blockage of the high head safety injection system due to possible debris present in the containment sump QUESTION: Have you replaced, or considered to replace mineral wool insulation in order to decrease the risk of blockage of the high head safety injection system?	With the current information available the replacement of the insulation material has not been considered. However, with the installation of the new steam generators on both units the insulation material fitment will be changed, the material used will be "glass wool". The evaluations has shown that the insulation replacement due to SGR has no impact on the sumps strainers performance and on the downstream parts of safety injection and containment spray systems.

25315	Sweden	57	Article 14	14.1.4.3 Review of KNPS following the Fukushima accident	Page 90. Overall, long- term external event related projects are expected to be completed by 2022. QUESTION: Could you please give a short description of these projects?	Multiple modifications has been identified that are currently at various stages of implementation and included but not limited to: - Acquisition of mobile and portable equipment - Hardened water external connection points - Hardened storage building - Hardened electrical external connection points - Installation of hardened instrumentation - Hardened water supply
25316	Sweden	58	Article 15	15.1.3 Public Exposure	Page 101. It is reported that for the KNPS, the dose constraint, applicable to the average member of the critical group within the exposed population, is 0.25 mSv per year. QUESTION: Is this dose constraint also taking direct exposure into account? The exposures from discharges are low (as can be seen from Table 15.3-2) and the average monthly TLD exposure measurements are displayed in Table 15.3.4. Are these	Yes, 250 µSv/a is applicable to all release pathways to the public. TLDs are used to quantify dose at a particular point in the environment and are placed to verify that the assumptions made in public exposure calculations are not exceeded. Reported numbers are corrected for background.

					average monthly exposures given without background values being subtracted?	
25317	Sweden	59	Article 15	15.3.1 Dose limits, main results for doses to exposed workers	Page 103. It is reported that the general reductions in the average annual dose to the occupationally exposed workers over the years, are mainly due to the integration of dose management in the work management programme and performance management system at KNPS. QUESTION 1: How is work with source term reduction progressing at the station? As reported under 15.3.3 at page 108, operation at high pH reduces corrosion and therefore the	Zn injection was implemented removed a lot of crud, which reduced source term significantly. It is expected that a combination of new SGs and improved water chemistry will significantly reduce the source term.

					formation of activated corrosion product in the primary circuit. QUESTION 2:After the exchange of the steam generators (Alloy 600?), is it expected that the water chemistry can be further improved?	
25318	Sweden	60	Article 15	15.3.1 Dose limits, main results for doses to exposed workers	It is reported that one numerical objective is that the average annual dose to the occupationally exposed workers does not exceed the 4 mSv ALARA target. QUESTION: From Table 15.3-1 it is evident that the average annual dose to the occupationally exposed worker has never ever exceeded 1.1 mSv. Should not a more challenging target be used in the ALARA-work? Could you please explain your view on this?	In order to keep the ALARA principles in force, the 4 mSv/a average individual dose was originally implemented. In order to optimise protection, this value should be re-evaluated.

25319	Sweden	61	Article 15	15.3.3 Release of radioactive material to the environment	Page 106. The annual dose estimated from effluent discharges is compared with the NNR dose limit. QUESTION: Please clarify if this limit is the same as the dose constraint mentioned in 15.1.3.?	Yes, the comparison is done in terms of the 250 µSv/a dose constraint. The wording in the report was a typo. (This should perhaps be corrected by the NNR in the report).
25320	Sweden	62	Article 15	15.3.3 Release of radioactive material to the environment	When the annual doses from liquid discharges are compared with the liquid discharges in total activity, some questions can be raised. E.g. the highest dose from liquid discharges is reported in 2003 (11.874 microsievert)- the corresponding activity reported is 2.1 E4 GBq. In 2016, the liquid discharges are 2.99 E4 GBq and the corresponding dose is reported as 0.399 microsievert. QUESTION: What is the reason behind this? Other	Dose conversion factors (DCF) are derived on a nuclide specific basis. Therefore, in the example more of high DCF nuclides were released in the 2.14e4 GBq year and more of the low DCF nuclides were released in the 2.99e4 GBq year.

					radionuclides or updated dose models for the calculation of dose to the public? Could you please explain this?	
25321	Sweden	63	Article 15	15.3.3 Release of radioactive material to the environment	Page 106. The reason for decrease in dose in recent years is according to the report the application of the ALARA principles in effluent management. Please expand a bit further on this. QUESTION: Which measures have been taken to reduce the discharges in order to reduce the dose to the public?	Trending of historical releases is used to inspire further reduction on releases. Also, pre-job and post job briefings are used to improve dose saving. One example of plant modification, which resulted in a dose saving is given on page 105. There were several other initiatives implemented including administrative operational controls implemented.
25322	Sweden	64	Article 16	16.1.32. main elements of the emergency plans and resources	Page 120. When a nuclear accident is reported, it is stated that the NNR, inter alia, is required to direct the holder of the nuclear authorisation in	The evacuees from the affected areas will be taken to the Mass Care Centre where accounting of persons will take place. NNR shall also publish by notice in the Gazette and in two publications of the daily newspapers in circulation in that area, the fact that a nuclear accident has occurred during that period within that area. Following the notice, all who were in the area must respond to the authorisation holder.

					question to obtain the names, addresses and identification numbers of all persons who were within that are during that period. QUESTION: Could you explain how this would be done in practice, especially if the area would be a sizeable one?	
25323	Sweden	65	Article 16	Implementation of protective actions	Page 123. It is reported that in principle, the head of the Disaster Management Centre may implement the recommendations from the Koeberg emergency controller in the absence of representatives from the national and provincial government. QUESTION: What does the "In principle" mean in this context? Is there a legal basis for this and would otherwise protective actions be delayed?	In principle refers to the situation whereby the Head of the Disaster management Centre implements protective actions in the event of the fast evolving emergency, which could be too late to wait for activation of the entire centre and thus delay protection of the public. This is based on procedures which have derived from nuclear safety point of view.

25324	Sweden	66	Article	16.2	It is reported that the	Time zero after classification of General emergency
			16	Information of	public warning system	
				the public and	are controlled from	
				neighbouring	one of five locations.	
				states	It is not totally clear	
					who declares general	
					emergency.	
					QU¤ESTION:	
					Regarding the time	
					limits given on page	
					127 for notification to	
					be affected within at	
					least 15 minutes, 30	
					minutes, 45 minutes	
					depending on distance	
					from the site and wind	
					direction - from which	
					"zero time" is this to	
					be calculated?	
25325	Sweden	67	Article	16.1.2.2 Overall	Page 117. Necsa has	Yes, Necsa is currently the NCA and NWP. However, it has been
			16	national	been designated as	recommended that responsibility of NCA be transferred to the NNR and
				emergency	the national	Necsa will continue with the role of NWP as it possesses the capability to
				preparedness	competent authority	operate a 24-hour national warning point, of which the NNR does not
					and national warning	possess.
					point.	
					QUESTION: Is it	
					correct that a	
					company (Necsa) is	
					designated as the	
					national competent	
					authority and national	
					warning point? Would	
					it not be more suitable	

					if these functions were assigned to an authority, e.g. the NNR.	
25326	Sweden	68	Article 16	16.1.3.2 Main elements of the emergency plans and resources	Page 120. The NNR Act is in the process of being updated and one of the proposed additional responsibilities of the NNR is for it to act, upon request, as an adviser to emergency response organisations and government organs, other than an authorisation holder in terms of the Act, in the case of a nuclear or radiological emergency. This will include verification of protective actions for members of the public as recommended by the operator. QUESTION: It is mentioned that the NNR Act is in the process of being	There is no authority currently playing the role of advisor to the government. However, the NNR currently performs this role

					updated in order for the NNR to act, upon request, as an adviser to emergency response organisations and government organisations. Does any other authority have this role today?	
25327	Sweden	69	Article 16	-	QUESTION: Are iodine profylax pre- distributed in the vicinity of NPP? Are there plans in place for distribution of iodine profylax in case of a imminent radioactive release?	Iodine profylax is available on site of the NPP, at Fire Stations in the vicinity of the NPP, schools, churches, hospitals etc. Iodine profylax will be promptly distributed in case of imminent radioactive release. Pre- distribution of Iodine Prophylaxis strategy is currently being developed at Emergency Planning Committee level.
25328	Sweden	70	Article 17	17.1.1.1 Overview of assessments and criteria	In the chapter 17 there is a text of: "Review of the SSR submitted by ESKOM for the Thyspunt site is currently in progress In Chapter 18 Summary changes describes for Section 18.1.5: "remained the same as there are	There is no contradiction - Eskom has not chosen a specific technology design for the new site(s) i.e while plans for a new nuclear power plant site(s) have been submitted, design plans for a new nuclear power plant have not been submitted yet.

					no new plans for a new nuclear power plant." QUESTION: Are there contradictions in these two parts?	
25329	Sweden	71	Article 18	18.1.1 Regulatory requirements on design and construction	Page 138. A list of what an applicant for a construction licence must provide is repeated here. A similar list is also given on pages 83-84 (14.1.2.4 Design and construction). However, the requirements about a) a project plan, including licensing schedule, vendor and suppliers; and b) Safety management during construction are not mentioned under Article 14. QUESTION: Please explain the difference, if any, or if we have missed something?	Article 14 deals with safety assessments to be performed and as such focus on the relevant safety assessments to be performed for different licensing stages. The Regulatory framework allows for combined or multi-phase licensing. As such as part of the application it is imperative that the project plan and associated documents be submitted and accepted by the Regulator. Article 18 deals with Design and Construction in general whilst Article 14 deals only with the safety assessments to be performed.

25330	Sweden	72	Article 18	18.1.2 Status of application of the defence in depth	Page 140. It is reported that the probabilistic risk approach required by NNR has significantly enhanced the implementation of the "defence in depth" and identify important improvements in safety at the nuclear installation. QUESTION: What does the "Fast dilution modification" listed as item 4 refers to (boron	The modification protects against a possible reactivity excursion caused by the introduction of a water "slug" from the boron and water make up system and injected into the core by the restarting of the corresponding Primary Pump.
					concentration)?	
25331	Sweden	73	Article 18	18.1.2 Status of the application of the defence in depth	Page 141. It is reported that another important aspect of ensuring the defence in depth in the operation of the KNPS, is the comprehensive independent surveillance and compliance inspection programme, implemented by the NNR, to verify compliance with the nuclear installation licence requirements	The intention of the section in the report was to indicate that over and above the licensee's monitoring programme the Regulator has an inspection programme that could detect any potential safety concerns and thus ensuring that the licensee's programmes and monitoring is effective. It does not replace the licensee's responsibility for the safe operation of the facility.

					and to identify any potential safety concerns. QUESTION:	
					We do not see how	
					the work of the	
					should be part of the	
					should be part of the	
					sale operation of the	
					explain this in more	
					detail?	
25332	Sweden	74	Article	1814	How are the number	The modifications were done with the objective of preventing beyond
20002	oneden	, ,	18	Implementation	points 14-19 measures	design basis accidents, as example, the spent fuel boiling has been
				of design	for beyond design	dispositioned as a design basis accident but additional measures has
				measures for	basis accidents? In our	however been installed, ie additional make-up capability and extra
				beyond design	view scope these	cooling train.
				basis accidents	measures are projects	
					for modernization.	
					QUESTION: Can you	
					specify the	
					improvements of the	
					functions for beyond	
					design accident?	
25333	Sweden	75	Article	Table 18.1.6	Page 144. The table	No. The term Land destruction is not used within South Africa, but we do
			18	Quantitative	contains risk	have guidance for remediation of land
				risk criteria for	assessment for	
				the public and	fatalities of workers	
				the workers	and of public. There is	
					no evaluation in the	
					table or in the chapter	
					doctruction of land	

					assessment of land	
					destruction been	
					considered?	
25334	Sweden	76	Article 18	18.1.6.1	Page 144. Steam generator replacement of two units in a few years seems to be a demanding project. It is mentioned that " Manufacturing has progressed well at various facilities with most of the components being delivered to Shanghai Electric Nuclear Power Equipment Company in China for the final assembly of the steam generators. The first set of three steam generators are expected for delivery in December 2019". That means various suppliers are used for delivery of components to be assembled to the final product. QUESTION:	One of the regulatory prerequisites is the submission of an acceptable Integrated Management System that combines the elements of Safety management with a Quality management system. Capability Assessments have been undertaken in Framatome premises to gauge the compliance status of its processes and systems to the requirements. These assessments also covered Framatome capability to meet the Regulatory requirements. Compliance audits have subsequently been carried out and the Licensee maintains oversight throughout the contract duration on the compliance status of Framatome and its sub suppliers in respect of the specified quality and safety requirements. Regular auditing, quality control checks and assessments are integrated into the programmes. Where necessary, specialist assessment services are carried out by a competent quality control company.

					Please explain how the overall QA process of safety classified SG manufacturing has been followed by the licensee having the ultimate responsibility for safety?	
25335	Sweden	77	Article 19	19.3.6 Regulatory review and control activities	Pages 153, 155. It is stated that in line with section 4 of the SSRP, the operational safety- related programmes are based on the prior and operational safety assessments. QUESTION: The next sentence of the paragraph is about the validity of the safety case being implemented on an on-going basis through the operational safety-	Compliance to the safety case is ensured through the compliance to the identified safety related programmes. The Nuclear Licence have requirements that clearly states that the licensee must comply with the provisions in the KLBM for the applicable area that the requirement is applicable to.

					related programmes. Could you please explain this a bit more? Furthermore could you expand a bit more on the first sentence under 19.3.6 on how the nuclear licence dictates compliance to KBLM etc?	
25336	Sweden	78	Article 19	19.4.4	The text refers to the functional organisation known as the Operation Support Centre on the top of page 159. QUESTION: It is stated that the implementation of this organisation should be finished in 2016. Could you now give more information about this?	 The operations support centre (OSC) is an onsite area separate from the control room and the technical support centre (TSC) where specific emergency response support personnel will assemble in an emergency. The OSC serve the following purpose: Provide a location from where in-plant operations support can be coordinated during an emergency. Reduce congestion to control rooms by having a response location for support personnel not specifically requested by the shift supervisor. Central location for the coordination of on-site response team activities, as staffing pool for performance of these activities, an assembly area for select station personnel and a storage area for specific emergency supplies. The OSC organisation have been operationalized and is activated when the emergency plan is activated.

25337	Sweden	79	Article	14.1.4.3 Annex	Annex D.2 page 177	The use of portable equipment is in line with the international approach
			14	D 2 Solution	The new equipment	in response to the events at Fukushima. This approach allows maximum
			1	concent.	for hardened water	flexibility and allows Koeherg Nuclear Power Station the ability to utilise
				Portable	supply and supply	equipment from off site to mitigate the bazard in the event that the
				Fauinment	ouch electricity are all	portable equipment is rendered unavailable. This is the approach
				Equipment.	portable and building	prioritized for implementation at Koeberg Nuclear Dower Station
					ouch protoction	
						Pohust and hunkered stationary numes and discal generators remain
					Duildings. QUESTION:	Robust and bunkered stationary pumps and dieser generators remain
					Have there been any	under investigation by Koeberg Nuclear Power Station's engineering
					evaluation of the	team.
					alternative of some	
					robust and bunkered	
					stationary pumps and	
					diesel generators?	
25338	Sweden	80	Article	14.1.4.3. Annex	Annex D.2 page 178.	Koeberg is aligned to Revision 2 of the generic PWROG SAMG package
			14	D.2 Post-	The Koeberg SAMG	that was released in 2013. Minimal changes were required to the
				Fukushima	were reviewed against	Koeberg-specific SAMGs as they already contained guidance for spent
				Actions	the newly released	fuel pool and shutdown accidents (since 2006). No re-validation was
				Procedure	PWROG generic SAMG	performed.
				enhancement	and updated to the	
					Koeberg-specific	
					SAMG, and related	
					background	
					documents are in	
					progress.	
					QUESTION: Have the	
					plant specific SAMGs	
					been validated?	
25339	Sweden	81	Article 7	7.2.3 System of		The NNR takes note of this recommendation.
				regulatory		
				inspection and		
				assessment		

25889	Austria	82	Article 6	p.14	It is stated that "()	This question is similar to one posed by Argentina for which the NNR
					the periodic safety	gives the same response:
					reviews must be	
					performed against	The guestion relates to the following text from the South Africa CNS
					current standards and	report:
					reasonably practicable	"RG-0028 further requires that areas where either the licensing basis or
					safety improvements	current standards and practices are not achieved should be identified. A
					are to be	list of proposed safety improvements should be prepared for each
					implemented within	negative finding, or, if no safety improvement can be identified that is
					the review period".	reasonable and practicable, a justification for this should be provided.
					What is your definition	It is therefore expected that the periodic safety review should be
					, of reasonably	performed against current standards, and that reasonably practicable
					practicable safety	improvement measures are identified and implemented in line with
					improvements?	Principle 2 of the VDNS."
						The NNR approach to this is consistent with the following statements
						from IAEA Tecdoc 1894:
						"Most regulatory frameworks do not prescribe a systematic approach for
						assessing what is reasonably practicable or reasonably achievable.
						Therefore, the process is normally considered on a case by case basis, in
						part by using engineering judgement. Since the responsibility for safety
						lies only on the licensee, it is the licensee's responsibility to justify and
						convince the
						regulator that additional measures are either justified or not and that the
						available options are optimized.
						Safety research and advances in science and technology, as well as
						revisions to international
						safety standards, support decisions on a specific solution as evaluated by
						the licensee. Insights from PSAs and PSRs, for example, may also bring
						new insights for safety improvement needs when looking at the overall
						picture of the plant safety."
						"Significant limitations leading to a conclusion that a particular solution is

			not "reasonably practicable" include the following:
			- Technical infessibility of implementing a solution (e.g. major plant
			- reclinical inteasionity of implementing a solution (e.g. major plant
			layout changes);
			 Permanent worsening of operability of the plant (significantly longer
			outages, increase of collective and individual effective doses, decrease of
			robustness of existing barriers in defence in depth);
			- For safety improvements that are not mandatory, efforts and
			implementation time to implement a safety improvement (e.g. feasibility
			to recover costs in the remaining plant lifetime) are not justified by the
			to recover costs in the remaining plant lifetime) are not justified by the
			magnitude of the safety improvement that would result."

25890	Austria	83	Article 7	p.20	Please provide some information on the regulations and guidance documents which are to be promulgated.	The NNR has made use of the IAEA fundamentals and requirements in updating and proposing new draft regulations to the Minister of Mineral Resources and Energy for review and promulgation. The framework of regulations comprises General Nuclear Safety Regulations integrating all thematic areas in a coherent and harmonised set, complemented by a series of Specific Nuclear Safety and Security Regulations. The Regulatory Guides follow the provisions of the IAEA safety guides and international regulators, as well as local operational experience and practices.
25891	Austria	84	Article 8	p.39	Please elaborate the impact on the NNR's service delivery. How will independent funding of the NNR be secured in the future?	In Section 17 of the National Nuclear Regulatory Act, of 1999, the NNR is able to receive licence fees for it operations. The grant affects the fullness of NNRs service delivery. The core business is managed well.
25892	Austria	85	Article 8	p.43	What are the main TSOs working for the regulatory body? Which TSOs does the operator work with?	The TSO providing support to the NNR is Mzesi a local based company. In terms of our policy guidance we may not enter into a contract for longer than 3 years. This is to ensure fairness and promote competition in the industry. Mzesi has been the plat form for contract with other local and international consultant companies.

25893	Austria	86	Article 11	p.68	It is mentioned that personnel at KNPS undertaking safety- related work are required to have a minimum level of qualification and experience. Further it is noted, that a minimum number of personnel per position is determined. Could you elaborate those criteria?	KNPS has a classification system which includes classifications for "SR" items. This category is very broad and encompasses all items that form part of the licensing basis, may expose individuals to radioactive dose, or could have any impact on the nuclear safety of the plant. Personnel performing activities related to items classified as SR are specifically authorised to perform those activities. For example, in the Design Engineering space, Design Engineers carry specific "SR" authorisations. In order to compile or review Safety Related Designs, an engineer must be so authorised. To gain an SR authorisation a design engineer must have experience in design of non-SR systems, and must prove familiarity with the nuclear systems of the Plant. This includes knowledge of nuclear design codes and regulations, familiarity with the safety and safeguard systems, as well as accident and transient analysis. This knowledge is typically gained through a "Nuclear Engineers Programme" – which is a full time study programme of approximately 12 months. The Design Engineering department maintain a "Competency Index" which measures the capabilities and authorisations of existing personnel against an "ideal/desired" number of personnel in order to achieve KNPS's aims. This competency index is constantly monitored to ensure the department retains at the very least an "intelligent customer" capability. Other departments have different requirements for authorisation depending on the significance of the possible consequences of their particular tasks.
25894	Austria	87	Article 18	ch 18	Do you have plans for the implementation of an alternative ultimate heat sink at Koeberg	The option for installation of an alternate measure (Cooling towers or air cooled condenser fan) in case of loss of ultimate heat sink was considered but dispositioned in 2019.

					possibilities / measures in case of a loss of the ultimate heat sink are considered?	
26280	France	88	Article 8	§8.1.8 p.40	Could South Africa indicate in what proportion the National Nuclear Regulator NNR plans to increase its staffing levels over the next five years and what is the amount of additional resources it will need to cope with upcoming projects?	The NNR proposes to increase it staffing levels by 22 percent over 5 years. This is based on the needed resources. Large projects are resourced with a dedicated resource plan commensurate with the scale and scope of the project. At the moment this proposal is aspirational and is subject to availability of finances.
26281	France	89	Article 8	§ 8.1.10 p.41	Could South Africa clarify how it measures stakeholder confidence in the nuclear safety regime?	The measure of stakeholder confidence requires a lot more treatment than is allowed in this setting. We have approached professional consultants that design surveys dealing with the perceptions of the NNRs effectiveness. The method involves a questionnaire, interviews, and reviews from external parties. All these elements are scored when the party responds to the information requested by the NNR. The scores are aggregated across the methods and an overall score is arrived at. From past stakeholder assessments the NNR fared well in areas such as interaction with the Unions. We have also carried out similar surveys regarding the view of our licensee. These have been quantified, and we use these scores expressed as percentage to refocus are service levels to our stakeholders

26282	France	90	Article 8	§ 8.1.10 p.42	Could South Africa indicate if the National Nuclear Regulator NNR website is interactive with the audience and how many visitors per year are connecting to this website?	The website is interactive and allows for registering of complaints and availability of regulatory documents. There were 61 827 visits in 2019.
26283	France	91	Article 10	§ 10.4.1 p.60 and 61	Does South Africa use the outcomes of the self-assessment conducted by the National Nuclear Regulator NNR in safety culture for developing a common understanding of a safety culture with the licensee, and establishing a permanent and mutual dialogue between both, in accordance with principles stated in IAEA TECDOC-1707?	The regulations on Safety Standards and Regulatory Practices (No. R. 388) caters to ensure that a safety culture is fostered and maintained to encourage a questioning and learning attitude to radiation protection and nuclear safety and to discourage complacency. Results of the Self- Assessment identified the need to improve NNR provisions for the promotion and support for safety culture. NNR encourage facilities and activities, subject to NNR regulatory authority and oversight, to conduct their own safety and security culture self-assessments as part of the NNR's process based licensing approach. The NNR regulatory documents requires that licensees must develop and introduce a Safety Culture Enhancement Programme, which must provide the framework for the implementation of the aspects of safety culture within the licensee organisation. The NNR requires the licensee to submit on an annual basis a written report addressing the licensee's self-assessment, oversight and monitoring of nuclear safety culture.

26284	France	92	Article 11	§ 11.2.5 p.67	Could South Africa provide further details regarding the "talent management process" and its implementation, with a specific focus on the efficiency of the knowledge acquisition and transfer?	This process is managed the line function level to ensure coaching and mentoring between experienced staff to younger staff. It starts with identification, assessment of staff, the development of training and the implementation thereof, then review and monitoring. A talent management framework is used. Knowledge acquisition is aided by coaching and training
26285	France	93	Article 13	13 p.76 to 79	Could South Africa precise procedures and guidance to manage detection of non-conforming, counterfeit, suspect or fraudulent items received from suppliers before they are installed in the plant? Could South Africa precise the inspection program focusing on preventing and detecting the incorporation of non- conforming, counterfeit, suspicious and fraudulent items?	The NNR has an approved documented process setting the requirements to all related organisations providing products important to nuclear safety that a Quality management system is implemented during all stages of the life cycle. This process governs activities related to siting, design, manufacturing, construction, operations, modifications, and eventual decommissioning as defined in the NNR Safety Regulations. As per the requirements document, all products related to the installation must be classified with respect to the importance of the product to nuclear safety to allow for the identification of applicable requirements. In case the important to nuclear safety activities are outsourced by the licensee or suppliers to sub-suppliers, the delegating organisation must implement oversight measures for these activities.

26286	France	94	Article 14	§ 14.2.3 p.96 to 98	Considering the fact that thermal ageing of cast duplex stainless steel is a major concern in several countries, does South Africa intend to replace the elbows connected to the Steam Generators during their replacements?	Eskom have included replacement of all 3 hot leg elbows on both units (6 in total) during replacement of the 3 Steam Generators of each unit due to low fracture toughness of 4 of the 6 elbows. The cast austenitic components are being replaced with forged austenitic components. The change from cast to forged components would require re-analysis of the primary circuit but this was required to be carried out anyway as the new Steam Generators are of larger capacity then the existing units to allow for future Thermal Power Upgrade. The remaining cold leg and cross- over leg elbows have been technically justified for 60 years of operation, except for one elbow on the inlet to a primary pump which is undergoing further detailed analysis for continued operation after Steam Generator Replacement
26287	France	95	Article 16	Summary p.11	Could South Africa specify if the Press briefings carried out during the last global exercise met the requirements and how these communication actions where involving the public for transparency?	South Africa has not conducted or been involved in a global exercise, however, "full scale" exercises are being conducted by the Regulator (NNR). "Full scale" in a sense that it tests the overall capability of the emergency responders to respond to an emergency and it tests most aspects of EPR arrangements. In the last exercise a press conference was simulated where press briefings were conducted; the public was not directly involved but was simulated to be part of that press conference. Press Briefings carried out during regulatory emergency exercises were in compliance with requirements of EPR. Feedback to public was provided at the Quarterly Public Safety Information Forums

26944	Poland	96	General	B, p. 9	What is the reason for replacing three main components: steam generators, reactor vessel head of unit 2 and the refuelling water storage tanks?	For the Koeberg Nuclear Power Station the main consideration was related to extending the life of the plant, since these were old SGs and were due for replacement it their function and reliability. For the Reactor Vessel head, there was an onset of corrosion and the operational experience showed that this component is due for replacement. The Refuelling Tanks were replaced due to ageing affects that impacted on the reliability and integrity of the tanks
26945	Poland	97	General	B, p. 9	Could you explain why the SSHAC results for Duynefontyn will be submitted to NNR later than other siting documentations?	The time and effort and costs of the SSHAC study provided by Eskom is onerous and would delay the review and submission of the other siting documents. This was undertaken by the request of Eskom
26946	Poland	98	Article 8	Page 31, 8.1.2	It is stated in the Report that the Directorate of Radiation Control in the Department of Health is responsible for regulatory control in scientific area. Does it mean that the Directorate oversights the research reactor?	The Department Radiation Control is responsible for the regulation of Medical Devices and Radioactive Sources that are not part of the nuclear fuel cycle. The Research Reactors is part of the Nuclear Fuel Cycle, and is used as a source of neutrons. In this regard it is regulated by the NNR.

27380	India	99	Article	Page 60	It is mentioned that	The NNR has adopted the Balanced Score Card approach in categorising
			10		'The NNR uses a	its metrics. The perspectives of the Score card are: 1) Regulatory
					system of annual	(includes stakeholders), 2) Financial, 3) Internal Business Processes and 4)
					performance plans,	People Management. All the KPIs under the Regulatory Perspective are
					with quarterly and	meant to prioritise safety.
					annual reports around	
					the achievement of	
					these plans, applying	
					indicators that reflect	
					achievement in the	
					key performance	
					areas covering the	
					various aspects of	
					regulatory control and	
					internal processes.	
					This enables the NNR	
					to assess its	
					performance on a	
					quarterly basis and to	
					refocus its activities	
					accordingly.'	
					South Africa is	
					appreciated for	
					systematic use of	
					indicators by NNR to	
					assess its own	
					performance.	
					Can South Africa share	
					the details of	
					indicators used by	
					NNR to prioritise	
					safety in its activities?	
27381	India	100	Article	Page 77	It is mentioned	The Nuclear Operating Unit's (NOU) management manual i.e. 238-8. rev
-------	-------	-----	---------	---------	-------------------------	--
			13		'Eskom's QA	4 was revisited. It references the IAEA GSR Part 2 and with the PSR
					programme, including	review underway, there is a plan to evaluate clause by clause compliance
					the Quality Policy	within the NOU OMS. SMS and supporting process. At the moment the
					Directive, is specified	recommendations of IAEA GSR Part 2 are realised across the NOU
					in the Safety and	Manual 238-8 and supporting Business unit Manuals, e.g. 335-2 for KNPS.
					, Quality Management	331-2 for Engineering etc.
					Manual of its Nuclear	
					Division. Oversight of	
					the operations is	
					provided by the QA	
					programme of KNPS.	
					This programme is	
					based, as a minimum,	
					on the IAEA Safety	
					Code No. 50-C/SG-Q	
					and the licensing	
					requirements as per	
					NNR documents LD-	
					1023 [4.4] and RD-	
					0034[4.5]. The Eskom	
					Nuclear Division	
					Safety and Quality	
					Management Manual	
					is also used as a basis	
					for the QA	
					programme.'	
					The reference of 50-	
					C/SG-Q is relatively	
					quiet old, so how	
					latest quality	
					management aspects	

					are reflected in QMM ? South Africa can elaborate on same. Can South Africa elaborate how latest recommendations of IAEA (IAEA GSR Part-2) are considered in NPPs?	
27382	India	101	Article 10	Page 60	Article-10.3 elaborates the regulatory oversight processes of NNR. Can South Africa clarify whether NNR has programme for independent assessment of safety culture of utilities?	NNR encourages facilities and activities, subject to NNR regulatory authority and oversight, to conduct their own safety and security culture self-assessments and independent safety culture assessments part of the NNR's process based licensing approach. NNR regulations require that the licensee maintain an appropriate safety culture. Safety culture assessments are performed on an annual basis to assess the health of the safety culture across the station, and nuclear safety awareness seminars are conducted to promote improvements in the safety culture.

27565	United States of	102	Article 7	Section 7.2.1.1	The report states that	(1) The regulatory framework has been augmented as provided for in
	America				the NNR has revised	Section 7.2.1.2 of the 2019 CNS report. The amendments to the primary
					and developed a suite	legislation have been delayed by the need to undergo a thorough
					of regulations and	legislative approval process which includes a social cost benefit analysis.
					guidance documents	(2) Yes, they are significantly different from the current regulations in
					considering IAEA	that they reflect the transition from a non-prescriptive licensing regime
					Safety Standards as	to a performance-based licensing regime. For example, as mentioned in
					appropriate, and that	the response to a previous Article 7 question from Sweden, as part of this
					this suite of	transition, the NNR has drafted General Nuclear Safety Regulations and
					regulations is still to	Specific Nuclear Safety Regulations: Nuclear Facilities, which contain
					be promulgated.	many more explicit mandatory requirements for nuclear power plant
					(1) When will these	design.
					revised regulations be	
					put into place?	
					(2) Are they	
					significantly different	
					than the current	
					regulations?	
27566	United States of	103	Article	Section 10.2.2.2	The report states that	NNR encourage facilities and activities, subject to NNR regulatory
	America		10		the NNR has moved to	authority and oversight, to conduct their own safety and security culture
					a more process-	self-assessments as part of the NNR's process based licensing approach.
					oriented licensing	The NNR regulatory documents requires that licensees must develop and
					approach, which	introduce a Safety Culture Enhancement Programme, which must
					demands increased	provide the framework for the implementation of the aspects of safety
					discipline and safety	culture within the licensee organisation. The licensee is held responsible
					culture from the staff	for various processes, in particular a safety screening and evaluation
					of the nuclear	process that identifies which modifications or changes require regulatory
					installation and	approval.
					increased vigilance	
					from the NNR to	
					detect incipient	
					weaknesses or any	
					deterioration of the	

					safety commitment. Can you explain how a process-oriented approach increases safety culture?	
27567	United States of America	104	Article 11	Section 11.2.12	The report states that the operator periodically experiences problems with high turnover of staff because they are leaving for lucrative international new build options and that the impact has been managed as required, with new staff expected to be fully qualified in mid-2021. (1) What actions is the operator taking to address this concern between now and 2021? (2) How does NNR provide oversight?	Question (1) Koeberg Power station developed a training plan to address the concern. This has resulted in a number of licensed operators being trained. The current number of RO's are 35 and SRO's are 28. As a result of the ongoing training, we expect an additional 18 RO's and 3 SRO's to qualify in July 2020. We report on this at the various NNR- Eskom interface meetings (for example, KCAF - Koeberg Compliance and Assurance Forum). Question (2) The NNR raised this matter as an Area of Concern, and emphasises the need to have staff that are qualified and experienced at the KNPS.

27568	United States of	105	Article	Section 16.1.5.2	The report states that	1. Areas of improvement included communication among the emergency
	America		16		an emergency exercise	responders, maintenance of emergency equipment, access into one of
					was held in August	the response locations, command and control issues and transport
					2018, and resulted in	arrangements. 2. After issuing the exercise findings report, the
					areas identified for	authorisation holder prepares and submit a corrective action plan with
					improvement.	implementation timelines, upon adequate implementation, NNR closes
					(1) Please describe	the finding.
					what are the areas	
					that need	
					improvement.	
					(2) Please clarify if	
					there is a timeline for	
					addressing and closing	
					these challenges.	
27577	United States of	106	Article	19.3.6.1	The report states that	The major findings from the Pre-Salto mission relates to the state of
	America		19.3		an IAEA Pre-SALTO	readiness for long term operation and specifically resources, status of the
					mission took place in	verification of the various ageing management aspects. The Regulator
					September 2019.	have issued recent guidance in regards AM and LTO, and because LTO
					(1) Please share the	would also be based on input from the PSR new guidance on PSR was
					most significant	issued. Internally the Regulator has already established a team that is
					findings of the	dealing with the oversight of AM, PSR and LTO with the aim of preparing
					mission.	for the review of the safety case that would be submitted to the
					(2) In addition to the	regulator.
					issuance of the RG,	
					what actions or	
					activities is NNR taking	
					to ensure regulatory	
					readiness in	
					preparation for the	
					submittal of the long	
					term operation	
					application?	

28204	Russian	107	General	Section B.	The summary provides	South Africa notes the concern of the Russian Federation. The major
	Federation			SUMMARY	only general short	common issues are annoyed in each introductory section of each Article
					information on the	and is clearly identified for easy reference. We have chosen this format
					reaction of South	as we deemed it in line with our report format.
					Africa to "Major	
					Common Issues	
					Arising from Country	
					Groups Discussions"	
					(paragraphs 25 to 34	
					of the Summary	
					Report of the 7th	
					Review Meeting of the	
					Contracting Parties to	
					The Convention on	
					Nuclear Safety), but	
					without links to	
					concrete information	
					in the National Report.	
					What concrete actions	
					did South Africa take	
					in response to the	
					"Major Common	
					Issues Arising from	
					Country Groups	
					Discussions"?	
28358	Russian	108	Article	Article 16	Are there	Yes, lessons learned are: 1. Unannounced can only be limited to drills
	Federation		16		unannounced	(minor portions of emergency response capabilities), due to business
					emergency drills and	operations of the utility. 2. Financial constraints in terms of remuneration
					exercises in South	for overtime in case the exercise takes place outside normal working
					Africa? If yes, then	hours. 3. Logistical arrangements (e.g. transport if at night)
					what are the lessons	
					learned from such	
					exercises compared to	

		planned drills and exercises?	

28417	Italy	109	Article 7	Page 29	Could South Africa	Currently, such enforcement aspects are addressed through provisions in
_	/				provide information	the NNR regulatory philosophy and policy and authorisation process
					about the applicable	documents as follows:
					regulations regarding	1) Enforcement action through the implementation of appropriate
					the suspension	interventions could include suspension or revocation of the
					modification or	authorisation curtailing of activities or operations, and prosecution in
					revocation of the	terms of the Act. Sanctions that will deter deliberate or careless deviation
					nuclear installation	from regulatory requirements are imposed
					license?	2) Enforcement actions are:
						a) Performed in accordance with provisions of the Act:
						b) Carried out in accordance with due legal process and other applicable
						regulations and legislative provisions: and
						c) Consistant impartial and transparent
						2) Enforcement actions consider:
						s) Enforcement actions consider.
						a) The hature of the hon-compliance (repeat event, wind), etc.);
						b) Operator's compliance history;
						d) Cignificance of the deficiency of the corrective action
						a) Significance of the deficiency of the corrective action.
						4) Enforcement actions may result from non-compliance with the
						conditions of nuclear authorisations, regulations, directives, approved
						operational procedures as well as any condition imposed by the
						Regulator as required.
						The NNP is also surrently drafting enforcement regulations, which are
						ligned with the enforcement provisions in the NND regulatory.
						aligned with the enforcement provisions in the NNR regulatory
						philosophy and policy and authorisation process and which are
						consistent with the provisions in the NNR Act related to enforcement.

28418	Italy	110	Article	Para 15.1.2	Could South Africa	1. Yes, optimisation is covered in the regulations and in the requirement
			15		clarify if for the	document (RD-0022), which states that: all exposures must be kept as
					different activities	low as reasonably achievable, economic and social factors taken. It
					conducted in the NPP	further indicates that in the implementation of the ALARA principle, a
					there is an obligation	system of dose constraints must be established and implemented, and
					for the licensee to	must not exceed values which can cause the exceedance of the dose
					demonstrate that the	limits. the application of the dose constraint must ensure as far as
					optimization principle	possible that doses are restricted by application of the ALARA principle
					has been properly	on a source specific basis rather than by dose limits.
					applied for worker's	
					exposures? Is a "dose	2. The implemented dose constraint for members of the public is 0,25
					constraint"	mSv/a. Eskom has an applied administrative dose constraint of 15 mSv/a
					established? Please	for workers. Eskom also have an ALARA target, which is the average
					also specify why table	annual dose target for workers, at 4 mSv/a.
					15.3.1 does not report	
					the maximum annual	3. Koeberg reports Maximum Annual Effective Dose to the NNR in their
					effective dose for	annual report. Table 15.3.1 could include this in the future.
					exposed workers; this	
					could be useful just in	4. For normal operating conditions, a system of Annual Authorised
					the implementation of	Quantities (AADQs), which should comply with the dose constraint of
					dose constraint.	0,25 mSv/a, applies. (Discussed in Section 15 of CNS report). For
						accidents, the license holder has to develop a technical basis for
					Could South Africa	emergency planning, to comply with the requirements of the NNR
					also clarify how in the	document, PP-0015. Section 14 deals with assessment and verification of
					safety assessment	safety. Emergencies are dealt with in Section 16 and 16 of the CNS
					documents submitted	report.
					to support the	
					licensing process an	
					analysis of possible	
					accident scenarios	
					involving unplanned	
					or uncontrolled	
					releases and the	

		assessment of the	
		relevant	
		consequences in	
		terms of radiological	
		impact on critical	
		groups of population	
		concerned are	
		developed.	

28419	Italy	111	Article 15	15.1.3	Could South Africa specify the dose limits for members of the public on which plant discharges have to comply with?	The dose limit for all activities for members of the public is 1 mSv/a. However, for a source, such as Koeberg, a dose constraint of 250 μSv/a (per source) is used to limit exposure to the members of the public.
28420	Italy	112	Article 14	Para 14.1.2.1	Could South Africa clarify the exact intended meaning of the objective of "no off-site effects" established in relation of new NPPs for the design basis accidents (DBA).	The Regulatory guide RG-0019 states that events (including combinations of events) equal to or greater than 10-5 per year of operation of the facility but less than 10-2 there should be no radiological impact outside the site boundary or exclusion area in excess of 50mSv at the lower end of the frequency scale.
28421	Italy	113	Article 14	Para 14.1.3.2	Could South Africa clarify if the Koeberg Accident Analysis Manual cover the areas of source term evaluation, radionuclide transport in the environment and dose assessment? If so, have the more up-to-date models and assumptions adopted by Eskom resulted in a change to the above Manual?	Yes, the accident analysis manual covers all levels of PSA. Continual updates to the manual is performed, the latest being the reference to use of the Alternate Source Term.

28422	Italy	114	Article 14	Para 14.1.3.2	Which are the KNPS dose criteria and dose objectives for the members of the public in accident conditions?	 The regulator specified fundamental nuclear safety criteria limits on the annual average or maximum risk to members of the public and facility personnel due to exposure to radioactive material as a result of accidents or normal operations. These limits for the public during an accident are as follows: Average Annual Population Risk - 10-8 fatalities per year per site (one fatality per one hundred million per year per site) Maximum Annual Individual Risk - 5 x 10-6 fatalities per year (one fatality per two hundred thousand per year) No action may be authorised which would give rise to any member of the public receiving a radiation dose from all authorised actions exceeding 1 mSv in a year.
28423	Italy	115	Article 14	Para 14.1.3.2	Using the more up-to- date models and assumptions, which is the exposure time (early phase, medium term, long term) usually adopted for the dose assessment for the members of the population in accident (and severe accident) conditions?	The analysis release durations for the accidents noted in Table 6 of U.S. NRC RG 1.183 remain applicable and are adopted in total when determining the total effective dose equivalent (TEDE) at the outer boundary of the low population zone (LPZ).

28424	Italy	116	Article	Para 18.1.3	Could South Africa	The following plant modifications has been identified to be designed and
			18	Para 18.1.6	clarify if design	operational after a DEC external event:
					extended condition	• Hardened water supply;
					(DEC) scenarios have	 Installation of hardened instrumentation;
					been considered and if	 Hardened electrical external connection points (ECPs);
					for them existing	Hardened storage building;
					safety margins as well	 Hardened water external connection points;
					as design features and	 Procedure enhancement (SD-EOPs and TSC support manual).
					capabilities of safety	
					systems have been	
					assessed and	
					consequential	
					improvement	
					measures, if any, have	
					been adopted?	
28566	Bulgaria	117	Article	page 66,	The Report states that	Yes, the production support group includes Inspection and Test, which is
			11	section 11.2.5	"The training,	the responsible group for destructive and non-destructive testing (NDT)
					qualification and	at KNPS.The I&T scope mainly consist of NDT and functional testing of
					ongoing training	safety related and BOP equipment as per the relevant code requirements
					requirements for the	(e.g. ASME XI, OM code, PER, ASME B31.1 etc.). The initial training,
					production support	qualification, and ongoing training requirements, for I&T, are set by
					groups (maintenance,	Eskom to the relevant code requirements. In addition, personnel are also
					chemistry, radiation	trained and certified by external accredited bodies (e.g. ISO-9712 etc.).
					protection, nuclear	Once the relevant certification is obtained a formal authorisation is
					fuel management and	issued subject to a successful panel interview. No, The training that I&T
					plant engineering) are	personnel at KNPS receive includes: Classroom and practical training, on
					set by Eskom. Eskom	the job assessment and a panel interview to assess competency before
					follows a practice	authorisation to work on site is granted.
					based on formal on-	
					the-job training and	
					examinations to	
					formally authorise	
					staff to perform tasks	

	01	n safety-related plant	
	Sy	ystems."	
	D	oes this "production	
	รเ	upport group"	
	in	nclude the	
	de	estructive and non-	
	de	estructive testing	
	(N	NDT) personnel?	
	ls	the "on-the job-	
	tr	aining" the only	
	tr	aining and	
	qu	ualification the NDT	
	pe	ersonnel gets?	